## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ROBERT JOHN SIMMONS, Individually	§	
And as Representative of the ESTATE OF	§	
GEORGIA ANN SIMMONS, JEFFREY	§	
ROBERT SIMMONS, and SHERRI RENE	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:14-cv-00488
BOWMAN,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
JOE M. FINCH, PsyD; KRANTI	§	
PURIMETLA, MD; AILEE FISHER, MD;	§	
RHONDA MIRANDA, RN; THE TEXAS	§	
DEPARTMENT OF STATE HEALTH	§	
SERVICES,	§	
Defendants.	§	

## **MOTION TO DISMISS**

## TO THE HONORABLE JANE BOYLE:

Defendant The Texas Department of State and Health Services ("DSHS"), and individual Defendants Joe M. Finch, PsyD; Kranti Purimetla, MD; and Aileen Fisher, MD, file this *Motion to Dismiss* pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6).

As explained in more detail in the accompanying Memorandum, Defendants would respectfully show the following:

- 1. Eleventh Amendment immunity acts as a jurisdictional bar to the three state tort claims against DSHS (Counts 3, 4, and 5), preventing them from being heard in federal court.
- 2. To the extent Plaintiffs are asserting their own "third-party" Section 1983 claims based on alleged violations of her constitutional rights (Counts 1-2), those claims are jurisdictionally barred due to lack of standing.

3. Plaintiffs do not allege that Dr. Purimetla and Dr. Fisher acted with "deliberate

indifference" to Ann Simmons' constitutional rights, and fail to allege facts as to how the doctors

failed to "exercise professional judgment." Moreover, Plaintiffs fail to plead facts that would

overcome the doctors' qualified immunity for Counts 1 and 2. Accordingly, those claims should

be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

4. Plaintiffs fail to allege facts that show Joe Finch had actual or constructive awareness of a

danger to Ann Simmons, or that he consciously disregarded that danger, and have thus failed to

allege facts that would show "deliberate indifference." Plaintiffs further fail to plead facts that

would overcome the Mr. Finch's qualified immunity for Counts 1 and 2. Accordingly, those

claims should be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

\*\*\*

For the reasons stated above, the Court should dismiss the claims against DSHS, Dr.

Purimetla, Dr. Fisher, and Joe Finch, tax costs against Plaintiffs, and afford Defendants all other

relief to which they are justly entitled.

DATE: April 1, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

**GREG ABBOTT** 

Attorney General of Texas

DANIEL T. HODGE

First Assistant Attorney General

DAVID C. MATTAX

Deputy Attorney General for Defense Litigation

JAMES "BEAU" ECCLES

Division Chief - General Litigation

/s/ Drew L. Harris

DREW L. HARRIS

Assistant Attorney General

Motion to Dismiss 2

State Bar No. 24057887 Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 (512) 463-2120 (512) 320-0667 (FAX) drew.harris@texasattorneygeneral.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS DSHS, D JOE FINCH, DR. KRANTI PURIMETLA, and DR. AILEEN FISHER

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was sent on this the 1<sup>st</sup> day of April, 2014, to:

Peter Hofer
Liane Janovsky
DISABILITY RIGHTS TEXAS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Via Electronic Filing Notification System

Rhonda Miranda Pro Se Defendant Via email to Rhonda.miranda13@gmail.com

/s/ Drew L. Harris
DREW L. HARRIS

Motion to Dismiss 3